Sickle cell disease afflicts millions of people worldwide and approximately 100,000 Americans. Complications are myriad and arise as a result of complex pathological pathways ‘downstream’ to a point mutation in DNA, and include red blood cell membrane damage, inflammation, chronic hemolytic anemia with episodic vaso-occlusion, ischemia and pain, and ultimately risk of cumulative organ damage with reduced lifespan of affected individuals. The National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute’s 2014 evidence-based guideline for sickle cell disease management states that additional research is needed before investigational curative therapies will be widely available to most patients with sickle cell disease. To date, sickle cell disease has been cured by hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in approximately 1,000 people, most of whom were children, and significantly ameliorated by gene therapy in a handful of subjects who have only limited follow-up thus far. During a timespan in which over 20 agents were approved for the treatment of cystic fibrosis by the Food and Drug Administration, similar approval was granted for only two drugs for sickle cell disease (hydroxyurea and L-glutamine) despite the higher prevalence of sickle cell disease. This trajectory appears to be changing, as the lack of multimodal agent therapy in sickle cell disease has spurred engagement among many in academia and industry who, in the last decade, have developed new drugs poised to prevent complications and alleviate suffering. Identified therapeutic strategies include fetal hemoglobin induction, inhibition of intracellular HbS polymerization, inhibition of oxidant stress and inflammation, and perturbation of the activation of the endothelium and other blood components (e.g. platelets, white blood cells, coagulation proteins) involved in the pathophysiology of sickle cell disease. In this article, we present a crash-course review of disease-modifying approaches (minus hematopoietic stem cell transplant and gene therapy) for patients with sickle cell disease currently, or recently, tested in clinical trials in the era following approval of hydroxyurea.

Introduction

As the most common monogenic disorder and first defined ‘molecular’ disease, sickle cell disease (SCD) comprises a complex group of hematologic disorders that share a common genetic link - a missense mutation in the seventh codon of the β-globin gene that leads to adenine being replaced with thymine (GAG→GTG). In turn, at the sixth position of the mature peptide of the β-globin protein the amino acid valine replaces glutamic acid which, when inherited in the homozygous state, results in erythroid precursors and mature sickle red blood cells (RBC) that contain abnormal sickle hemoglobin (HbS: αβS2), rather than normal adult hemoglobin (HbA: α2β2). Compound heterozygous diseases (HbSC: αβSβC; and HbSβ+ thalassemia: α2βSβ+-thal) have milder features overall, but can be debilitating and highly morbid as well. Under deoxygenated conditions, HbS polymerizes intracellularly,
which makes the sickle RBC fragile, less deformable, and dehydrated, and subsequently more susceptible to endothelial adhesion through activation of adhesion receptors. Downstream consequences include microvascular occlusion, leukocyte and platelet activation, and a pathologically altered endothelium all existing in a pro-inflammatory and pro-thrombophilic plasma milieu. The biomechanical properties of sickle RBC are dependent on intrinsic factors, such as the composition of the hemoglobin (e.g., presence of the anti-sickling fetal hemoglobin (HbF: $\alpha_{2}\gamma_{2}$), membrane integrity, cellular volume and hydration, cytosolic make-up, and extrinsic factors, such as inflammatory cytokines, activated endothelium, and other blood components including platelets, leukocytes, and proteins involved in coagulation. Clinical manifestations of the presence of HbS polymerization are wide-ranging and include chronic hemolytic anemia, episodic microcirculatory vaso-occlusion with tissue ischemia and pain, and ultimately chronic end-organ damage that can reduce the lifespan of an individual with SCD.

Due to its impact on morbidity and mortality, SCD is increasingly being recognized as a global health problem. Researchers in academia and industry have reinvigorated efforts to cure patients with SCD; and where that is not possible because of medical and socioeconomic barriers they aim to prevent, delay, and mitigate its protean complications. Curing SCD through stem cell transplantation and achieving durable responses through gene therapy have become realities for some patients. However, as stated by the 2014 evidence-based guidelines from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI), additional research is needed before potentially curative therapies are widely, safely, and inexpensively available to most patients. Therefore, in the era following approval of hydroxyurea by the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA), providers will need to rely on improving patients’ outcomes through utilization of one or more additional emerging novel therapies and advances in care. Although the economic cost benefit of such an approach is difficult to predict, conceptually this may evolve into a multi-faceted approach to SCD that is similar to that seen with multi-agent chemotherapy for the successful management of cancer.

In this context, we present emerging non-genetic approaches (i.e. those that do not involve stem cell or gene therapy) currently or recently in clinical trials that offer innovative treatment and palliation in SCD. While we do include agents involved in epigenetic targeting, excellent reviews of other genetic approaches for disease modification or cure (i.e. those receiving stem cell transplants or gene therapy through gene addition, correction, or editing) can be found elsewhere.

**Methods**

Relevant literature was identified through various mechanisms, including using search terms ‘sickle cell disease’ and ‘novel treatments’ in MEDLINE, reviewing recent abstracts presented at the American Society of Hematology annual meetings, and examining recent, relevant reviews by others in the field. Trials actively recruiting pediatric or adult patients with SCD, and which included subjects aged 18 years or older, as of February 15, 2019 were also evaluated through ClinicalTrials.gov. Upon review of each result, we excluded those trials involving gene modification, including stem cell transplant, gene addition, correction, or editing. As we outline in Online Supplementary Tables S1 and S2, we broke down what we thought were novel and important treatments into two groups - those characterized by targeting the abnormal HbS and damaged sickle RBC (i.e. intrinsic to the RBC, including formation of deoxy-HbS and its polymerization in a dehydrated sickle RBC) (Figure 1) and those targeting sequelae downstream from the red cell (i.e. extrinsic to the RBC, including abnormal endothelial and cellular adhesion, vascular tone, other blood components, and inflammation) (Figure 2).

**Figure 1. Red cell intrinsic targets.** The figure illustrates the therapeutic targets within the red cell precursor (DNA, and the sickle red blood cell (RBC), HbS, e.g. voxelator, and polymer formation), which are most likely to modify sickle cell disease and to affect more than a single downstream sequelae (pain, inflammation, vasculopathy, so forth). RBC image: https://steemit.com/stemng/@gbindinazeez/sickle-cell-anaemia-an-endemic-disease-20181122t21522880s-post
Red blood cell intrinsic targets

The emerging disease-modifying approaches to SCD that target intrinsic characteristics of RBC are outlined in Online Supplementary Table S1 and discussed below.

Targeting HbS polymerization through the induction of HbF

Several recently developed agents aim to reduce deoxy-HbS polymerization, the root cause of SCD pathology, through delayed deoxygenation of HbS, reduced intracellular HbS concentration (via cellular hydration), or induction of the anti-sickling HbF. Hydroxyurea, a ribonucleotide reductase inhibitor with HbF-inducing properties, is the paradigmatic HbF-inducing agent and was the first drug approved by the FDA for the treatment of adults and children with SCD. Hydroxyurea induces HbF and increases RBC volume, thereby reducing the likelihood of HbS polymerization. Hydroxyurea also decreases neutrophil and platelet counts and increases plasma nitric oxide levels, and is overall associated with decreased morbidity and improved mortality. The 2014 NHLBI guidelines state that hydroxyurea therapy should be initiated in adults with severe SCD, especially when quality of life is affected, and offered as a prophylactic treatment in young children with sickle cell anemia. Novel studies assessing the benefits of hydroxyurea are evaluating individualized pharmacokinetic-based dosing strategies (NCT03789591), the safety and feasibility of adding hydroxyurea to simple transfusions for stroke prevention (NCT03644953), and using patient navigators to reduce barriers to availability and non-adherence (NCT02197845).

However, some patients with SCD may not respond adequately to hydroxyurea or refuse treatment because of unwanted side-effects. Other agents that modify γ-globin gene silencing and induce HbF are being repurposed or newly investigated. Many drugs being, or previously, investigated work through novel epigenetic mechanisms within erythroid progenitors in the bone marrow. Decitabine with (NCT01685515) or without (NCT01375608) tetrahydrouridine (a cytidine deaminase inhibitor that prevents rapid deactivation of decitabine, thereby allowing the use of an oral formulation of this latter) is a chemotherapy used to treat myelodysplastic syndrome and acute myeloid leukemia. Decitabine and its historic antecedent 5-azacytidine inhibit DNA methyltransferase-1 (DNMT1), thereby reducing overall DNA methylation. Perturbed DNA methylation, in animal models and humans, appears to be the major mechanism for derepressed γ-globin expression arising from this class of agents. A phase I, first in-human trial of decitabine/tetrahydrouridine found this drug combination to be safe (without cytotoxicity or genotoxicity), well-tolerated, and effective, increasing HbF levels to 4-9%, while doubling F-cell populations. Unlike 5-azacytidine, decitabine does not affect RNA metabolism and is likely to have an improved safety profile, although the impact of its irreversible incorporation into DNA has not been fully elucidated and long-term follow-up in large populations is not yet available.

Dimethyl butyrate (HQK-1001), an orally bioavailable short-chain fatty acid derivative and inhibitor of histone deacetylases, was active in animal models. However, a phase II double-blind placebo-controlled study (NCT01601340) was terminated early as the drug was associated with an insignificant rise in HbF and more pain episodes when compared to placebo. Other histone deacetylase inhibitors that work in part by reversing γ-globin silencing and show promise in phase I trials in SCD include the multiple myeloma drugs panobinostat (NCT01245179) and pomalidomide (NCT01522547). Again, long-term risk-benefit analyses are not yet available.

Lysine-specific demethylase-1 (LSD1) is another enzyme and epigenetic target that modifies histones through demethylation in the process of γ-globin gene

---

Figure 2. Red cell extrinsic targets. The figures shows the therapeutic targets and pathways extrinsic to the red blood cell (RBC), which are most likely to be useful for managing sickle cell disease, palliating symptoms, and improving organ function. NO: nitric oxide. [RBC image: https://steemit.com/stemng/@gbindinazeez/sickle-cell-anaemia-an-endemic-disease-20181122t215228805z-post]
silencing at the γ-promoter DRED complex, which also contains the demethylase DNMT1. LSD1 inhibition in SCD mice increases HbF; reduces reticulocytosis, and decreases organ damage.25 A phase I open-label study (NCT03135254) evaluating the safety, pharmacokinetics, and biological activity of the LSD1 inhibitor INC059872 in patients with SCD was terminated early due to a ‘business decision’ and the drug is being examined as a treatment for leukemia. Known for its glucose-lowering mechanism in patients with type 2 diabetes, metformin was recently shown to induce HbF in a FOXO3-dependent manner that was additive to hydroxyurea in vitro.40 Metformin is being investigated in a phase I, dose-escalation pilot study in SCD patients with or without the addition of hydroxyurea (NCT02981329).

Targeting HbS polymerization through sickle red blood cell hydration

Sickle RBC are naturally imperfect osmometers,41 and their biomechanical properties are dependent on RBC-intrinsic properties (e.g., membrane and cytosolic contents) and RBC-extrinsic factors (e.g., environmental osmolality, surface area to volume ratio, oxygen tension).42 As such, SCD pathology results directly from the consequences of red cell dehydration, which increases HbS concentration within the sickle RBC, leading to polymerization under deoxygenated conditions and resultant increased cellular density and stiffness, which reduces sickle RBC deformability and increases adhesion leading to a disruption in microvascular blood flow.43 HbS from the low-oxygen affinity tense (T) state reduces the risk of HbS polymer formation.29 Allosteric modification of HbS from the low-oxygen affinity tense (T) state to the high-oxygen affinity relaxed (R) state increases the risk of HbS polymer formation.30 The kinetics of some of the allosteric-acting agents are much faster (hours to days) than are the predominant effects of HbF inducers, which may take weeks to months to fully alter erythroid precursors. This difference could suggest complementary ways for using these agents.

Other anti-sickling agents

Allosteric modification of HbS from the low-oxygen affinity tense (T) state to the high-oxygen affinity relaxed (R) state reduces the risk of HbS polymer formation.30 Several novel agents manipulate this biochemical phenomenon and show promise in interrupting the molecular pathogenesis of SCD. Voxelotor (GBT440) is a small molecule that binds to the α-globin chain in HbS, increasing oxygen affinity to favor the R state. Early phase trials demonstrated that GBT440 is well-tolerated in adults with SCD and reduces morphological changes in sickle RBC.50,51 The Hemoglobin Oxygen Affinity Modulation to Inhibit HbS Polymerization (HOPE) study (NCT030356813) is a phase III randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter study evaluating the efficacy and safety of GBT440 in adolescents and adults with SCD. Early results suggest that a reduction in vaso-occlusive events is associated with GBT440 administration was associated with reduced pain scores and anti-sickling properties during vaso-occlusive episodes when compared to placebo administration.52,53 SCD-101 is marketed as a ‘botanical drug’ with anti-sickling activity through an unknown mechanism and was shown in an interim analysis of a recent phase I study (NCT02380079) to be well-tolerated, and may reduce chronic pain and fatigue, improve leg ulcers, and improve sickle RBC morphology in the peripheral blood.54

The kinetics of some of the allosteric-acting agents are much faster (hours to days) than are the predominant effects of HbF inducers, which may take weeks to months to fully alter erythroid precursors. This difference could suggest complementary ways for using these agents.
cations of SCD in patients 5 years or older. However, there remain concerns among many providers regarding the limitations of the study leading to approval for Endari™, along with its potentially prohibitive cost, limited insurance coverage, and twice-daily powder form, which may reduce adherence. Another antioxidant agent, N-acetylcysteine, maintains and replenishes glutathione, which is an intracellular antioxidant and scavenger of reactive oxygen species. In a phase II trial, N-acetylcysteine reduced vaso-occlusive episodes and dense sickle RBC formation. However, a placebo-controlled, phase III trial (NCT01849016) found N-acetylcysteine had no clinical benefit in reducing pain when given orally, albeit adherence was poor. Of note, N-acetylcysteine can also reduce the formation of von Willebrand factor multimers and of von Willebrand factor-dependent platelet aggregation. von Willebrand factor reactivity is high during vaso-occlusive episodes, and may sustain microvascular vaso-occlusion. A phase I/II trial of N-acetylcysteine administered intravenously during vaso-occlusive episodes (NCT01800526) is recruiting adult patients to determine whether this antioxidant can affect von Willebrand factor levels or function and curb pain associated with vaso-occlusion.

Red blood cell extrinsic targets

The disease-modifying approaches to SCD that target factors extrinsic to the sickle red blood cells, namely abnormal cellular adhesion and vascular dysfunction, platelet activation and hypercoagulability, and leukocytes, cytokines and other inflammatory mediators are outlined in Online Supplementary Table S2A-C, respectively, and discussed below.

Targeting abnormal cellular adhesion and vascular dysfunction

Abnormal cellular adhesion

Pioneering work in the 1980s showed that intracellular hemoglobin polymerization in SCD resulted in abnormal RBC adhesion to the endothelium. This observation was soon expanded and enhanced by thoughtful investigations, and it is now recognized that many cell types, endothelial and hematopoietic, show abnormal activation and adhesion in SCD. Further, precise experimental identification of adhesive partners, such as integrins, selectins, and white cell proteins, have increased the repertoire of potential therapeutic targets (Online Supplementary Table S2A).

BCAM/Lu, expressed on sickle RBC, mediates adhesion to the sub-endothelial protein laminin, and this is augmented by ß-adrenergic signaling. The effect of ß-blockade by propranolol on RBC adhesion and clinical outcomes was tested in people with SCD, with suggestive but inconclusive results (NCT01077921).

Abnormal cellular adhesion to the endothelium has been shown to be mediated by P- and E-selectins, and early work showed some benefit from an oral agent that blocked P-selectin. More recently, the most actively tested agents are crizanlizumab, which is an anti-P-selectin monoclonal antibody given prophylactically monthly, and rivipansel, which is an intravenous glycomimetic pan-selectin antagonist given acutely during vaso-occlusive episodes. In a randomized phase II study, crizanlizumab was tested internationally in 198 people with SCD. Compared with placebo, higher dose crizanlizumab resulted in a 45% reduction in annual crises, from 2.98/year to 1.63/year (P=0.01). In addition, the median time to a first crisis was longer in people with SCD who were on high-dose crizanlizumab than in those on placebo (4.07 vs. 1.38 months, respectively; P=0.001).

Serious adverse events did not differ between patients treated with the active drug or placebo. However, normal surveillance for infection and platelet function rely on intact function of P-selectin, and this aspect will need to be monitored during more widespread use of this preventive therapy. In a phase II study, 76 people with SCD were treated with intravenous rivipansel or placebo during vaso-occlusive episodes. There were trends toward reductions in mean and median times to resolution of vaso-occlusive episodes in treated patients [41 h and 63 h, respectively (28% and 48% reductions in the mean and median time to resolution, respectively); P=0.19 for both]. These reductions were more substantial than those in the placebo-treated group. A secondary endpoint, cumulative intravenous opioid use, was reduced by 83% with GM1-1070 versus placebo (P=0.01). These results suggest that this agent has some efficacy during vaso-occlusive episodes.

Both crizanlizumab (NCT03814746) and rivipansel (NCT02433158) are now undergoing phase III studies.

Intravenous immunoglobulins decrease cellular adhesion in SCD in vitro, likely due to effects on RBC-white blood cell adhesion mediated through the integrin Mac-1. This observation formed the rationale for a phase I trial of the use of intravenous immunoglobulins in pediatric and adult patients with SCD, while a phase II study is currently ongoing only in children (NCT01757418).

Vascular dysfunction

People with homozygous SCD experience a lifelong risk of multi-organ vasculopathy, due to the cumulative effects of hemolysis, nitric oxide depletion, inflammation and abnormal cellular adhesion. Therapeutic strategies including repletion of nitric oxide via inhalation have not been successful in randomized controlled studies during acute pain episodes or acute chest syndrome, but when given topically it has shown some quantitative and qualitative success in healing leg ulcers. A prospective phase II study to test topical nitric oxide as a treatment for leg ulcers is ongoing (NCT02863068).

Dietary supplementation to improve nitric oxide availability, including arginine and its precursor citrulline, has also been tried. Citrulline was studied in a small number of people with SCD, and appeared to have some benefit, but is not actively under study currently. Arginine has had a more robust clinical history. Thirty-eight children and young adults up to 19 years old with SCD received arginine or placebo intravenously for 5 days during a hospital admission for vaso-occlusive crisis. A significant reduction in opioid use was reported (1.9 ± 2.0 mg/kg vs. 4.1 ± 4.1 mg/kg, respectively; P=0.02) and lower pain scores at discharge (1.9 ± 2.4 vs. 5.9 ± 2.9, respectively; P=0.01). A larger phase II study is near completion at Emory in Atlanta (NCT02536170).

Targets within the nitric oxide signaling pathway have also been identified and are being tested in clinical trials. Soluble guanylate cyclase catalyzes the production of cyclic GMP, which promotes vascular health. One agent,
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Riociguat\textsuperscript{95}, has been shown to improve function (increased 6-minute walk distance) and decrease vascular resistance in patients with pulmonary hypertension without SCD (NCT00855465).\textsuperscript{9,7} The impact of this agent on pain and cardiopulmonary function is currently being tested in 100 people with SCD at multiple sites, in the Steri-SCD study (NCT02653397).

As a class of drugs, ‘statins’ decrease systemic inflammation and improve vascular health in the general population. Twenty-five people with SCD were treated with low-dose atorvastatin for 1 month: changes were observed in cholesterol and some markers of vascular health, but no conclusive findings were made.\textsuperscript{92} In a dose-finding study, performed in 26 people with SCD over 3 weeks, simvastatin was safe and increased nitric oxide levels, while decreasing markers of inflammation (C-reactive protein and interleukin-6).\textsuperscript{95} A 3-month follow-up study in 19 people with SCD showed an excellent safety profile, an improvement in the rate but not the intensity of pain, and salutary effects on some but not all markers of inflammation and vascular health.\textsuperscript{94} This class of agents is not being actively tested at this time, but its excellent safety profile suggests that it may have a useful role in the management of selected patients with SCD.

**Targeting platelet activation and hypercoagulability**

SCD is a hypercoagulable state with an incidence of thromboembolism comparable to that in individuals with some inherited thrombophilias.\textsuperscript{12,13,95-97} Overactivation of hemostatic components, including platelets and coagulation factors, contributes to the vasculopathy of SCD through increased endothelial activation, platelet-leukocyte aggregates, and increased inflammation.\textsuperscript{13,94-96} As such, these factors are seen as potential targets for novel pharmacological interventions (Online Supplementary Table S2B).

Recent results of studies investigating antiplatelet agents targeting GPIIb/IIIa have been disappointing. A single-center, phase II, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study (NCT00354999) found the reversible GPIIb/IIIa inhibitor epifibatide to be safe but it did not improve time to resolution of vaso-occlusive episodes or hospital discharge.\textsuperscript{97} A secondary analysis of these data did, however, reveal that epifibatide reduced ADP-dependent platelet aggregation, platelet-leukocyte aggregates, and inflammatory cytokines.\textsuperscript{99} Another GPIIb/IIIa-inhibitor, abciximab, was withdrawn from a patient-oriented study due to insufficient recruitment (NCT01932554). Prasugrel, an irreversible inhibitor of platelet aggregation through the P2Y12 class of ADP receptors has also been studied. A phase II, double-blind, randomized, multicenter trial (NCT01167023) found that prasugrel was safe, reduced markers of platelet activation including P-selectin, and was associated with a trend toward decreased pain when compared to placebo.\textsuperscript{99} While it was a negative study and patients 18 years or older were not included, the seminal phase III Determining Effects of Platelet Inhibition on Vaso-Occlusive Events (DOVE) trial was still informative as one of the largest multinational phase III trials in pediatric SCD and found that prasugrel did not reduce the rate of vaso-occlusive episodes in pediatric and adolescent patients up to 17 years of age when compared to placebo.\textsuperscript{100} In addition, a phase II study (HESTIA2, NCT02482298) assessing the impact of ticagrelor, a reversible P2Y12 antagonist, found that the drug was safe but did not reduce the number of diary-reported pain-free days in adults with SCD.\textsuperscript{101}

While SCD is a procoagulant disorder, with chronic activation of the coagulation system through increased thrombin generation and diminished anticoagulant proteins,\textsuperscript{12,102} the clinical benefit of routine anticoagulant use in SCD is still largely unknown. For instance, warfarin, a vitamin K antagonist, has been associated with decreased D-dimer levels in adult patients with vaso-occlusive episodes.\textsuperscript{103} However, a recent phase II study (NCT01036802) evaluating its use in patients with pulmonary hypertension, which in some adults may be due to in-situ thrombosis formation within the pulmonary vasculature, was terminated early due to poor accrual. Similarly, a phase II feasibility study (NCT02098993) investigating unfractionated heparin in acute chest syndrome was also terminated due to poor enrollment. Interestingly, a low-molecular weight heparin, tinzaparin, shortened the durations of vaso-occlusive episodes and hospitalization compared to those in placebo-treated patients in a randomized, double-blind trial.\textsuperscript{104} A phase III study (NCT02880775) evaluating the effectiveness of tinzaparin on time to resolution of acute chest syndrome is currently recruiting participants. A randomized, double-blind, phase II study (NCT01419977) evaluating prophylactic dosing of a low molecular weight heparin, dalteparin, during vaso-occlusive episodes has been completed and preliminary results indicate an insignificant impact on markers of coagulation, while reducing pain scores in hospitalized patients given the trial drug compared to those given placebo.\textsuperscript{104} Novel anti-Xa oral agents, too, are under investigation. A phase II study (NCT02072668) evaluating the impact of rivaroxaban on inflammatory markers during the non-crisis steady state has recently been completed. A phase III, placebo-controlled trial (NCT02179177) investigating the effect of prophylactic dosing of apixaban on daily pain scores is recruiting. Difibrotide, a sodium salt of a single-stranded polydeoxyribonucleotide with anti-thrombotic and anti-inflammatory properties, is currently being evaluated primarily for safety (grade III/IV allergic reaction or hemorrhage) in a phase II study (NCT08380581) among adult patients with SCD and acute chest syndrome.

**Targeting leukocytes, cytokines, and other inflammatory mediators**

Patients with SCD are in a constant inflammatory state primarily due to vaso-occlusion-induced hypoxia-reperfusion, endothelial damage, and activated and aging leukocytes, in part regulated by the microbiome.\textsuperscript{105,106} As such, there has been a growing interest among investigators interested in targeting these inflammatory pathways for potential clinical benefit in adult patients with SCD (Online Supplementary Table S2C). Use of inhaled mometasone, a corticosteroid, is being tested in two phase II studies (NCT02061202 – IMPROVE, NCT03758950 – IMPROVE2) to determine its effectiveness in reducing pain and inflammation among patients without asthma. Recent results indicate that the use of inhaled mometasone is feasible and that it can reduce circulating soluble vascular cell adhesion molecule and markers of macrophage activation, while improving daily pain scores.\textsuperscript{107,108} Invariant natural killer T (iNKT) cell activation is increased in patients with SCD and is regulated by the adenosine A2A receptor.\textsuperscript{111} Regadenoson, a partially selective adenosine A2A receptor
agonist, is a coronary vasodilator and approved by the FDA for myocardial perfusion imaging. A phase I study (NCT01085201) demonstrated that regadenoson can be safely administered to patients during vaso-occlusive episodes and reduces iNKT cell activation.112 A randomized phase II, placebo-controlled trial (NCT01788631) among patients with vaso-occlusive episodes demonstrated low-dose infusion of regadenoson did not significantly reduce iNKT cell activation, duration of hospital stay, mean total opioid use, or pain scores when compared to placebo.113 NKTT120, a humanized anti-iNKT cell monoclonal antibody, recently completed a phase I study (NCT01783691) and was found to be safe and produced rapid, sustained iNKT cell depletion in adults with SCD.114

Other novel anti-inflammatory agents are also being investigated in trials among patients with SCD. ACZ885 (canakinumab) is a monoclonal antibody that targets interleukin-1β, a cytokine upregulated due to hemolysis-induced free heme and inflammasome activation.115 A phase II trial (NCT02961218) is recruiting adolescent and young adult patients to determine whether canakinumab can reduce daily pain scores. Leukotrienes are biologically active inflammatory mediators produced by leukocytes which are associated with SCD-related morbidity, including asthma.116 Zileuton inhibits 5-lipoxygenase, a leukotriene synthesis enzyme, and was safe and tolerable in a phase I trial (NCT01136941).117 Montelukast, a cysteinyl leukotriene receptor antagonist, is FDA-approved for the treatment of asthma. The aim of a recently completed phase II study (NCT01960413) among adolescents and adults with SCD was to determine whether montelukast versus placebo added to hydroxyurea could improve markers of tissue injury associated with vaso-occlusion. Results are pending. Omega-3 fatty acids may improve SCD-related pathology through reduction in vaso-occlusion-induced systemic and local inflammation.118 A phase I/II study (NCT02947100) was terminated early due to manufacturing problems. A phase III study (NCT02525107) is recruiting patients to determine whether prophylactic administration of omega-3 fatty acids can reduce the number and severity of vaso-occlusive episodes.

Lastly, intriguing research suggests that the microbiome may play a significant role in the pathology of SCD through mechanisms involving translocation of gut flora and inflammation which can affect sickle RBC and leukocytes.108,119 In a single-arm, phase II study (NCT03719729) investigators are recruiting patients with SCD to determine whether gut decontamination with rifaximin, a broad-spectrum antibiotic that inhibits bacterial RNA polymerase, is well-tolerated and can reduce admissions due to vaso-occlusive episodes.

**Discussion**

While stem cell and gene therapies are becoming more commonplace, they are not yet widely available to most...
patients with SCD. Therefore, optimizing non-curate approaches, i.e. those that do not involve stem cell or gene therapy, but which prevent or abort the complications of SCD, remains an important step in improving health and diminishing symptom burden in most people with SCD, in the USA and worldwide. Collaboration among the government (National Institutes of Health), industry, and academia has led to the development of a range of novel therapies that target many pathways which have been implicated in SCD-related pathophysiology. Through successful enrollment in numerous studies investigating novel therapies, it is also clear that many patients with SCD are eager to explore the potential clinical benefits of these agents. Less clear, unfortunately, are the optimal endpoints that investigators should choose when determining the beneficial role these agents may have in the clinical course and complications of SCD. Risk-benefit analyses by patients, their families, and their healthcare providers are also important. We suggest that treatments ‘closer’ to the proximate pathophysiological causes of SCD, such as polymer formation, may warrant greater risk exposure than do strategies that solely address downstream consequences (Figure 5), although this assessment will be further complicated when multi-modality therapies are applied. In addition, pain is such a prominent symptom and burden in SCD that its relief may warrant riskier approaches than would otherwise be considered for a single symptom or organ.

It is a time of great optimism, and in the future it may be feasible to take a multimodal approach with a combination of therapies, as seen in cancer treatment regimens, to adequately address both cause and effect in SCD. For example, agents that improve red cell health, such as L-glutamine and hydroxyurea, could be successfully combined with non-overlapping agents, such as anti-selectin therapies, which block inflammatory adhesion during painful crises. As members of the broader sickle cell community (while optimistic and enthusiastic), we must remain vigilant but reasonable, using long-term, prospective data as available to guide assessments about benefits and long-term safety of emerging treatments. We must do better in the coming years to identify complications of all novel therapies rapidly so that we can ensure that (unavoidable) risks and complications are detected, mitigated, and managed in the context of their impact on disease in order to optimize care in SCD.
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